

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,322	11/12/2003	Thomas R. Gingeras	3535.1	2004
22886	7590 05/12/2006		EXAMINER	
AFFYMETR		POHNERT, STEVEN C		
ATTN: CHIEF IP COUNSEL, LEGAL DEPT. 3420 CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	RA, CA 95051		1634	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	App	olication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		712,322	GINGERAS, THO	GINGERAS, THOMAS R.		
		miner	Art Unit			
		ven C. Pohnert	1634			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this countries. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rany reply received by the Office later than three montearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	E MAILING DATE ( ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I rommunication. In statutory period will appl eply will, by statute, cause ths after the mailing date of	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a  y and will expire SIX (6) MOI the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 12 Novem	ber 2003.				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This action					
3) Since this application is in conditi	on is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under <i>Ex pai</i>	te Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restrict the subject t	s/are withdrawn fro					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a) <u></u> accepted	or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.	•		
Applicant may not request that any of	ojection to the drawin	ng(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) include	ing the correction is	required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examin	er. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim  a) All b) Some * c) None of  1. Certified copies of the prior  2. Certified copies of the prior  3. Copies of the certified copies  application from the Internative See the attached detailed Office and	: ity documents hav ity documents hav es of the priority do tional Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in A ocuments have been T Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)		۸.	Summon (PTO 442)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review</li> </ol>	/ (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	5)  Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/712,322

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

(

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claim 2 & 19, drawn to methods of analyzing transcription factor binding sites, classified in class 536, subclass 24.1.
  - II. Claim 3 & 20, drawn to methods of analyzing RNA protein binding domain, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - III. Claim 4 & 21, drawn to methods of analyzing chromatin modification sites, classified in class 536, subclass 24.1.
  - IV. Claim 5 & 22, drawn to methods of analyzing origins of replication, classified in class 536, subclass 24.1.
  - V. Claim 6 & 23, drawn to methods of analyzing DNA methylation sites, classified in class 536, subclass 24.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I- are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions are to methods of analyzing structurally and functionally distinct types of DNA



Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/712,322

Art Unit: 1634

sequences. DNA comprising transcription factor binding sites, RNA: binding domain proteins, chromatin modification sites, origins of replication, and methylation sites are structurally and functionally different and distinct from each other. There is a search burden because art relating to variations in RNA:binding protein domains would not necessarily provide art on variation in transcription factor binding sites, chromatin modification sites, origins or replication or DNA methylation sites or vice versa.

- Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given 3. above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Claims 1 and 17 link invention groups I-V. The restriction requirement among the 4. linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim1 and 17. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection is governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowances are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional

Application/Control Number: 10/712,322

Art Unit: 1634

statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven C. Pohnert whose telephone number is 571-272-3803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,322

Art Unit: 1634

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on 571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Pohnert

JEHANNE SITTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

(